

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,554	06/28/2001	Thomas Scholl	Mo-6427/LeA 34,702	5348
157	7590 06/18/2002			
BAYER CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 BAYER ROAD			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
PITTSBURG	PITTSBURGH, PA 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	\mathcal{D}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. // 09/894,554

Applicant(s) Scholl et al.

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit 1711

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres			
Period for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH	H(S) FROM		
mailin - If tho - If NO - Failure - Any n	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause tell period of the period	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Status	patent term adjustment. Gee of Chi 1.704(g).				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are	pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	$(a) \square$ accepted or $(b)\square$ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a) (☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
*5	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·	(e).		
	The translation of the foreign language provisions				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachn	nent(s)				
1) 🗌 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)		
3) 💢 ln	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1, 5	6) Other:			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/894,554

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Within claim 6, the reference to the "emulsion of the rubber solution and water" fails to further limit claim 5. Claim 5 does not allow for this permutation.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, it is unclear if water is optional or if the "large excess" is the optional feature. It is noted that "optionally" appears after water.

Secondly, the word "large" is relative terminology. It is unclear what quantity of water is set forth by the language.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Application/Control Number: 09/894,554

Art Unit: 1711

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 12-

265007.

The reference discloses the addition of thermoplastic elastomers and short fibers to rubbers,

so as to obtain rubber compositions having excellent processability and improved physical properties.

The reference further discloses short fiber sizes that meet those of applicants' claims and further

disclose that the short fiber may be polyurea, though other suitable species are disclosed, as well.

See abstract. The position is taken that it would have been obvious to incorporate the disclosed

short fibers, including those of polyurea, into a rubber composition, so as to obtain a rubber

composition having the aforementioned improved characteristics. Applicants' claim language does

not differentiate the disclosed short fibers from the claimed particles.

5. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because

a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See

MPEP § 201.15.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

June 15, 2002

RABON SERGENT

Page 3